

YLIOPISTOTENTTI - UNIVERSITY EXAM

opiskenjan mini / Student name.			Opiskelijanumero / Student number:	
	0			
Opettaja täyttää / Lecturer fills i	n;			
Opintojakson koodi and nimi /	The code and the nan	ne of th	ne course:	
Koodi / Code 721333S				
Tentin nimi / Exam nam	e Industrial Org	aniza	tion	
Tiedekunta / Faculty: Business S				
Tentin pvm / Date of exam: 30.1.2019		Tentin kesto tunteina / Exam in hours: 3 h		
Tentaattori(t) / Examiner(s):		Opintopistemäärä / Credit units: 6		
Maria Kopsakangas-Savolainen	*	Sisäin	en postios. / Internal address:	
Sallitut apuvälineet / The device	es allowed in the exar	n:		
☑ Funktiolaskin /Scientific calculator	☐ Ohjelmoitava laskin / Programmable calculator			
☐ Muu materiaali, tarkennettu a	alla / Other material, s	pecifie	d below:	
Tenttiin vastaaminen / Please answer the questions: □ Suomeksi / in Finnish □ Englanniksi / in English				
Suomenkielisessä tutkinto-ohjeln opintosuorituksessa suomen kieli vieraan kielen opintoja. (Kts. <u>Kou</u>	tä, vaikka opintojakso	n opeti	i oikeus käyttää arvioitavassa uskieli olisi englanti. Tämä ei koske	
In a Finnish degree programme a attainment, even though the lang when the language of instruction	guage of instruction is	English	n, (excluding language studies) even	
Kysymyspaperi on palautettava / ⊠ Kyllä / Yes	/ Paper with exam qu □ Ei / No	estions	s must be returned:	

Answer all questions. Each question gives you max 6 points.



721333S, Industrial Organization Maria Kopsakangas-Savolainen (Each question gives you max 6 points) 30.1.2019

1. Consider the following game depicted the process of standard setting in highdefinition television (HDTV). The United States and Japan must simultaneously decide whether to invest a high or a low value into HDTV research. Each country's payoffs are summarized in figure below.

Japan

U.S.

	Low	High
Low	4, 3	2, 4
High	3, 2	1, 1

- a. Are there any dominant strategies in this game? What is the Nash equilibrium of the game? What is the rationality assumption implicit in this equilibrium?
- b. Suppose now that the United States has the option of committing to a strategy before Japan's decision is reached. How would you model this new situation? What are the Nash equilibria of this new game?
- c. Comparing the answers to a) and b), what can you say about the value of commitment for the United States?
- 2. Two firms produce a homogenous product. Let p denote the product's price. The output level of firm 1 is denoted by q_1 , and the output level of firm 2 by q_2 . The aggregate industry output is denoted by Q, $Q = q_1 + q_2$. The aggregate industry demand curve for this product is given by $p = \alpha$ Q. Assume that the unit cost of firm 1 is c_1 and the unit cost of firm 2 is c_2 , where $\alpha > c_2 > c_1 > 0$. Perform the following:
 - a. Solve for a competitive equilibrium.
 - b. Solve for Cournot equilibrium.
 - c. Solve for the sequential-moves equilibrium, assuming that firm 1 sets its output level before firm 2 does.
 - d. Solve for Bertrand equilibrium.

(Note: Make sure that you solve for the output level of each firm and the market price.)

3. Consider a market for computer systems. A computer system is defined as a combination of two complementary products called computers (denoted by X), and monitors (denoted by Y). We denote p_X the price of one computer and by p_Y the price of a monitor. Therefore, since a system consists of one computer and one monitor, the price of a system is given by

 $p_S = p_X + p_Y$. Let Q denote the quantity of systems purchased by all consumers, and assume that the aggregate consumer demand is given by $Q = \alpha - p_S$. where Q = x = y. We denote by x the amount of computers sold to consumers and by y the amount of monitors sold.

Answer the following questions assuming that production is costless.

- a. Suppose that the X producer and the Y producer are independent. Solve for the Nash-Bertrand equilibrium in prices. Calculate the equilibrium prices and quantity produced of each product and firms' profit levels.
- b. Now suppose that firms X and Y merge under a single ownership. Calculate the monopoly equilibrium prices, the quantity produced of each product, and the monopoly's profit.
- c. Is this merger welfare improving? Compare system price and profits of the firms before and after the merger.
- 4. Give short answer to the following questions
- a. Explain what we mean by two-part tariffs in general and by using some example.
- b. Explain when does the Stackelberg competition give first mover advantage and when second mover advantage.
- c. Explain what is moral hazard problem (in regulation procedure) and how it can be solved?
- 5. Consider a situation where an authority wants to regulate a firm but it does not know the true costs of the firm. Explain what kind of economic mechanism would give the firms sufficient incentive to report its true costs.